

Software specification in CASL - Logic

Till Mossakowski, Lutz Schröder

October 2006

Signatures (vocabularies)

- sort symbols $s, t, Nat, List, Tree$
- function symbols $f: s \longrightarrow t, _ + _: Nat \times Nat \longrightarrow Nat,$
 $_ + + _: List \times List \longrightarrow List$
- predicate symbols $p: t, _ \leq _: Nat \times Nat$

Terms

Terms denote values, like natural numbers, data values, etc.

$t ::= c$	constant
x	variable
$f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	application of function symbols to terms
$t_1 \text{ when } \phi \text{ else } t_2$	conditional terms

Formulas

$\phi ::= p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$	application of predicate symbols
<i>false</i> (\perp)	contradiction
<i>true</i> (\top)	always true
<i>not</i> ϕ ($\neg\phi$)	negation
$(\phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n)$	conjunction
$(\phi_1 \vee \dots \vee \phi_n)$	disjunction
$(\phi_1 \Rightarrow \phi_2)$	implication
$(\phi_1 \Leftrightarrow \phi_2)$	equivalence
$\forall x : s . \phi$	universal quantification
$\exists x : s . \phi$	existential quantification

In single-sorted logic: $\forall x . \phi, \exists x . \phi$.

Free and bound variables

An occurrence of a variable in a formula that is not bound is said to be **free**.

$\exists y \text{ LeftOf}(x, y)$	x is free, y is bound
$(\text{Cube}(x) \wedge \text{Small}(x)) \rightarrow \exists y \text{ LeftOf}(x, y)$	x is free, y is bound
$\exists x (\text{Cube}(x) \wedge \text{Small}(x))$	Both occurrences of x are bound
$(\exists x \text{ Cube}(x)) \wedge \text{Small}(x)$	The first occurrence of x is bound, the second one is free

Models

- each sort is interpreted with a **carrier set**
- each function symbol is interpreted with a (set-theoretic) **function**
- each predicate symbol is interpreted with a (set-theoretic) **relation** (= subset of cartesian product)

Variable valuations . . .

. . . are just maps from a set of variables into the carrier sets of a model. The sorting has to be respected.

Denotation of a term in a model w.r.t. a variable valuation

is defined inductively over the structure of the term

- the interpretation of a variable is determined by the variable valuation
- the interpretation of constant c is determined by the corresponding element of the carrier set
- the interpretation of $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is the interpretation of f , applied to the interpretations of t_1, \dots, t_n

Satisfaction of a formula in a model w.r.t. a variable valuation

- $p(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is satisfied iff the tuple formed by the interpretations of t_1, \dots, t_n is element of the relation that is the interpretation of p
- the logical connectives are interpreted in the well-known way
- $\forall x : s . \phi$ is satisfied if for all valuations that may modify the given one on x , ϕ is satisfied
- $\exists x : s . \phi$ is satisfied if for some valuation that may modify the given one on x , ϕ is satisfied

Satisfaction of a formula in a model

A formula is satisfied in a model iff it is satisfied for all valuations.

Notation: $M \models \varphi$.

The axiomatic method

Try to capture the intended meaning of data sets, functions and predicates by specifying their properties using **axioms** (=formulas).

Axioms restrict the possible interpretation of sorts, functions and predicates.

Axioms may be used as premises within arguments/proofs.

A **basic specification** consists of a signature together with a collection of axioms.

Semantics of specifications

- The (loose) **semantics** of a basic specification is the class of those models that satisfy all the specified formulas.
- A specification is said to be **consistent** when there are some models that satisfy all the formulas, and
- **inconsistent** when there are no such models.
- A formula is a **logical consequence** of a basic specification if it is satisfied in all the models of the specification.

The four Aristotelian forms

All P's are Q's.	$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
Some P's are Q's.	$\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$
No P's are Q's.	$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(x))$
Some P's are not Q's.	$\exists x(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$

Note:

$\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ does not imply that there are some P 's.

$\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ does not imply that not all P 's are Q 's.