# Logik für Informatiker Logic for computer scientists

Till Mossakowski

WiSe 2007/08



#### **Overview**

- Why is logic needed in computer science?
- Overview of the course
- The LPL book and software
- "Scheinkriterien"

2

## Motivation

- formal specification and verification
- databases, WWW, artificial intelligence

- formal specification and verification
- databases, WWW, artificial intelligence
- algorithms & complexity

- formal specification and verification
- databases, WWW, artificial intelligence
- algorithms & complexity
- metatheory

- formal specification and verification
- databases, WWW, artificial intelligence
- algorithms & complexity
- metatheory
- (semi-)automated theorem proving

- formal specification and verification
- databases, WWW, artificial intelligence
- algorithms & complexity
- metatheory
- (semi-)automated theorem proving
- programming languages

• formal software and hardware development

- formal software and hardware development
- verification of existing software and hardware

- formal software and hardware development
- verification of existing software and hardware
- generation of test cases

- formal software and hardware development
- verification of existing software and hardware
- generation of test cases
- protocol verification, security (modal and temporal logics)

- formal software and hardware development
- verification of existing software and hardware
- generation of test cases
- protocol verification, security (modal and temporal logics)
- properties of telephone systems

- formal software and hardware development
- verification of existing software and hardware
- generation of test cases
- protocol verification, security (modal and temporal logics)
- properties of telephone systems
- Example: Pentium 4 arithmetic completely specified and verified with higher-order logic!

- formal software and hardware development
- verification of existing software and hardware
- generation of test cases
- protocol verification, security (modal and temporal logics)
- properties of telephone systems
- Example: Pentium 4 arithmetic completely specified and verified with higher-order logic!
- Example: NASA uses logic for testing software

• queries for web search; database queries (SQL)

- queries for web search; database queries (SQL)
- semantic web (XML-based)

6

- queries for web search; database queries (SQL)
- semantic web (XML-based)
- expert systems

- queries for web search; database queries (SQL)
- semantic web (XML-based)
- expert systems
- linguistics

- queries for web search; database queries (SQL)
- semantic web (XML-based)
- expert systems
- linguistics
- Example: CYC is a very large knowledge base containing over 1.5 Million "facts, rules-of-thumb and heuristics for reasoning about the objects and events of everyday life" —the CYC inference engine uses first-order logic!

• if a graph property can be stated in monadic second-order logic, there is an efficient algorithm for it

- if a graph property can be stated in monadic second-order logic, there is an efficient algorithm for it
- complexity classes can be characterized by classes of logical formulas

- if a graph property can be stated in monadic second-order logic, there is an efficient algorithm for it
- complexity classes can be characterized by classes of logical formulas
- Example: the proof of NL=Co-NL was based on this the hope is to push this further towards P=?NP

- set theory has been formalized in first-order logic
  - this serves as a foundations for all of mathematics and theoretical computer science

- set theory has been formalized in first-order logic
   this serves as a foundations for all of mathematics and theoretical computer science
- Gödel's completeness theorem for first-order logic: semantics can be captured by formal proofs
   — even by machine-driven proofs!

- set theory has been formalized in first-order logic
   this serves as a foundations for all of mathematics and theoretical computer science
- Gödel's completeness theorem for first-order logic: semantics can be captured by formal proofs
   — even by machine-driven proofs!
- Gödel's incompleteness theorem for first-order logic + induction: some essential pieces of mathematics and theoretical computer science cannot be captured by formal systems!

 logical properties of finite state machines can be automatically checked (model checkers)

- logical properties of finite state machines can be automatically checked (model checkers)
- more complex systems need semi-automated proving

- logical properties of finite state machines can be automatically checked (model checkers)
- more complex systems need semi-automated proving
- verification of proofs is easy and fully automatic

### (Semi-)automated theorem proving

- logical properties of finite state machines can be automatically checked (model checkers)
- more complex systems need semi-automated proving
- verification of proofs is easy and fully automatic
- Example: some theorem about Boolean algebras has been found by a computer

### (Semi-)automated theorem proving

- logical properties of finite state machines can be automatically checked (model checkers)
- more complex systems need semi-automated proving
- verification of proofs is easy and fully automatic
- Example: some theorem about Boolean algebras has been found by a computer
- Example: several math text books have been verified with a semi-automatic prover (and small but inessential errors have been found)

• Many programming languages use logical and, or, not

- Many programming languages use logical and, or, not
- Prolog = programming in logic

- Many programming languages use logical and, or, not
- Prolog = programming in logic
- concentrates on what instead of how

- Many programming languages use logical and, or, not
- Prolog = programming in logic
- concentrates on what instead of how
- involves non-deterministic search

iUJ

- Many programming languages use logical and, or, not
- Prolog = programming in logic
- concentrates on what instead of how
- involves non-deterministic search
- used for applications in linguistics and artificial intelligence

### Landscape of logics

#### Temporal logic

Prop ModalProp Logic of programs

Spatial logic

FOL ModalFOL

#### HOL

# **Overview of the course**

- propositional consequence
- Hintikka games
- propositional proofs
- resolution
- (semi-)automatic
  proving: SPASS, Isabelle
- first-order quantifiers
- first-order consequence

- multiple quantifiers
- first-order proofs, reslution
- induction, datatypes
- model theory
- soundness
- completeness
- applications, outlook

LPL book detailed introduction into first-order logic with many exercises

**Boole** construct truth tables

Tarski's world evaluate logical formulas within a blocks world

Fitch construct proofs

**Grinder** gives automatic feedback to your solutions (requires purchase of the CD)

# Scheinkriterien etc.

### Rooms

- Monday 13:00 15:00 GW2 B1410
- Thursday 13:00 15:00 GW2 B1410
- Exercises (bring your Laptops with you!)
  either Monday 13:00 15:00 GW2 B1410 and MZH 5210 (Sergey)
  or Wednesday 8:00 10:00 MZH 7250

. . . this will be decided on Monday 29th October, 13:00 - 15:00 GW2 B1410

• Web:

www.informatik.uni-bremen.de/agbkb/lehre/ws07-08/Logik/

### Scheinkriterien

- successful solution of 10 exercises from 7 different chapters, with deadlines as given in the course
   to be found in the LPL book
  - but: only those listed on the website, marked with grades
  - $\circ\,$  grade is average of 10 best solutions, but only as good as the best fitch solution
  - $\circ$  groups of 1-3 students (10/20/30 exercises, same grade for all)
  - submitted to the Grinder or to me (depending on the exercise)
- and: presentation of solutions to the class, or oral exam ("Fachgespräch")

- for working with the Grinder, each student/group needs an own new CD
- try easy exercises first, to reach the minimum of 10 (later on, you can improve: only the 10 best solutions count)
- only exercises with a successful report (by the Grinder or us) count
- the Grinder is always right (but some old versions of Fitch are buggy)