

Logik für Informatiker Logic for computer scientists

First-order structures

Till Mossakowski

WiSe 2009/10

First-order structures: definition

A first-order structure \mathfrak{M} consists of:

- a nonempty set $D^{\mathfrak{M}}$, the *domain of discourse*;
- for each n -ary predicate P of the language, a set $\mathfrak{M}(P)$ of n -tuples $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ of elements of $D^{\mathfrak{M}}$, called the *extension of P* .

The extension of the identity symbol $=$ must be $\{\langle x, x \rangle \mid x \in D^{\mathfrak{M}}\}$;

- for any name (individual constant) c of the language, an element $\mathfrak{M}(c)$ of $D^{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Variable assignments

A *variable assignment* in \mathfrak{M} is a (possibly partial) function g defined on a set of variables and taking values in $D^{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Given a well-formed formula P , we say that the variable assignment g is *appropriate* for P if all the free variables of P are in the domain of g , that is, if g assigns objects to each free variable of P .

$[t]_g^{\mathfrak{M}}$ is

- $\mathfrak{M}(t)$ if t is an individual constant, and
- $g(t)$ if t is a variable.

Satisfaction (A. Tarski)

- 1 $\mathfrak{M} \models R(t_1, \dots, t_n)[g]$ iff $\langle [t_1]_g^{\mathfrak{M}}, \dots, [t_n]_g^{\mathfrak{M}} \rangle \in \mathfrak{M}(R)$;
- 2 $\mathfrak{M} \models \neg P[g]$ iff it is not the case that $\mathfrak{M} \models P[g]$;
- 3 $\mathfrak{M} \models P \wedge Q[g]$ iff both $\mathfrak{M} \models P[g]$ and $\mathfrak{M} \models Q[g]$;
- 4 $\mathfrak{M} \models P \vee Q[g]$ iff $\mathfrak{M} \models P[g]$ or $\mathfrak{M} \models Q[g]$ or both;
- 5 $\mathfrak{M} \models P \rightarrow Q[g]$ iff not $\mathfrak{M} \models P[g]$ or $\mathfrak{M} \models Q[g]$ or both;
- 6 $\mathfrak{M} \models P \leftrightarrow Q[g]$ iff ($\mathfrak{M} \models P[g]$ iff $\mathfrak{M} \models Q[g]$);
- 7 $\mathfrak{M} \models \forall x P[g]$ iff for every $d \in D^{\mathfrak{M}}$, $\mathfrak{M} \models P[g[x/d]]$;
- 8 $\mathfrak{M} \models \exists x P[g]$ iff for some $d \in D^{\mathfrak{M}}$, $\mathfrak{M} \models P[g[x/d]]$.

Additionally,

- never $\mathfrak{M} \models \perp[g]$;
- always $\mathfrak{M} \models \top[g]$.

A structure \mathfrak{M} satisfies a sentence P ,

$$\mathfrak{M} \models P,$$

if $\mathfrak{M} \models P[g_\emptyset]$ for the empty assignment g_\emptyset .

$$D^{\mathfrak{M}} = \{a, b, c\}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(\text{likes}) = \{\langle a, a \rangle, \langle a, b \rangle, \langle c, a \rangle\}$$

$$\mathfrak{M} \models \exists x \exists y (\text{Likes}(x, y) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(y, y))$$

$$\mathfrak{M} \not\models \neg \forall x \exists y (\text{Likes}(x, y) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(y, y))$$

Proposition Let \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 be structures which have the same domain and assign the same interpretations to the predicates and constant symbols in P . Let g_1 and g_2 be variable assignments that assign the same objects to the free variables in P . Then

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 \models P[g_1] \text{ iff } \mathfrak{M}_2 \models P[g_2]$$

First-order validity and consequence

A sentence P is a *first-order consequence* of a set \mathcal{T} of sentences if and only if every structure that satisfies all the sentences in \mathcal{T} also satisfies P .

A sentence P is a *first-order validity* if and only if every structure satisfies P .

A set \mathcal{T} of sentences is called *first-order satisfiable*, if there is a structure satisfies each sentence in \mathcal{T} .

Soundness of \mathcal{F} for FOL

Theorem If $\mathcal{T} \vdash S$, then S is a first-order consequence of \mathcal{T} .

Proof: By induction over the derivation, we show that any sentence occurring in a proof is a first-order consequence of the assumption in force in that step.

An assumption is *in force* in a step if it is an assumption of the current subproof or an assumption of a higher-level proof.

The basic shape axioms

- 1 $\neg \exists x (Cube(x) \wedge Tet(x))$
- 2 $\neg \exists x (Tet(x) \wedge Dodec(x))$
- 3 $\neg \exists x (Dodec(x) \wedge Cube(x))$
- 4 $\forall x (Tet(x) \vee Dodec(x) \vee Cube(x))$

SameShape introduction and elimination axioms

- 1 $\forall x \forall y ((Cube(x) \wedge Cube(y)) \rightarrow SameShape(x, y))$
- 2 $\forall x \forall y ((Dodec(x) \wedge Dodec(y)) \rightarrow SameShape(x, y))$
- 3 $\forall x \forall y ((Tet(x) \wedge Tet(y)) \rightarrow SameShape(x, y))$
- 4 $\forall x \forall y ((SameShape(x, y) \wedge Cube(x)) \rightarrow Cube(y))$
- 5 $\forall x \forall y ((SameShape(x, y) \wedge Dodec(x)) \rightarrow Dodec(y))$
- 6 $\forall x \forall y ((SameShape(x, y) \wedge Tet(x)) \rightarrow Tet(y))$

Completeness of the shape axioms

Two structures are *isomorphic* if there is a bijection between their domains, which is compatible with extensions of predicate and interpretation of constants.

Assume the language *Cube*, *Tet*, *Dodec*, *SameShape*

Lemma For any structure satisfying the shape axioms, there is an isomorphic Tarski's world structure.

Theorem Let S be a sentence.

If S is a Tarski's world logical consequence of \mathcal{T} , then

S is a first-order consequence of \mathcal{T} plus the shape axioms.

chapter 18, 18.1-18.19