Logik für Informatiker Logic for computer scientists

Multiple Quantifiers

Till Mossakowski, Lutz Schröder

WiSe 2011/12

$$\forall x \exists y \ Likes(x, y)$$

is very different from

 $\exists y \forall x \ Likes(x, y)$



Figure 11.1: A circumstance in which $\forall x \exists y \text{ Likes}(x, y)$ holds versus one in which $\exists y \forall x \text{ Likes}(x, y)$ holds. It makes a big difference to someone!

Goal: shift all quantifiers to the top-levelRules for conjunctions and disjunctions $(\forall xP) \land Q \rightsquigarrow \forall x(P \land Q)$ $(\exists xP) \land Q \rightsquigarrow \exists x(P \land Q)$ $P \land (\forall xQ) \rightsquigarrow \forall x(P \land Q)$ $P \land (\exists xQ) \rightsquigarrow \exists x(P \land Q)$ $(\forall xP) \lor Q \rightsquigarrow \forall x(P \lor Q)$ $(\exists xP) \lor Q \rightsquigarrow \exists x(P \lor Q)$ $(\forall xQ) \lor \forall x(P \lor Q)$ $(\exists xQ) \lor \exists x(P \lor Q)$ $P \lor (\forall xQ) \rightsquigarrow \forall x(P \lor Q)$ $P \lor (\exists xQ) \rightsquigarrow \exists x(P \lor Q)$

Rules for negations, implications, equivalences

$$\neg \forall xP \rightsquigarrow \exists x(\neg P)$$
 $\neg \exists xP \rightsquigarrow \forall x(\neg P)$
 $(\forall xP) \rightarrow Q \rightsquigarrow \exists x(P \rightarrow Q)$ $(\exists xP) \rightarrow Q \rightsquigarrow \forall x(P \rightarrow Q)$
 $P \rightarrow (\forall xQ) \rightsquigarrow \forall x(P \rightarrow Q)$ $P \rightarrow (\exists xQ) \rightsquigarrow \exists x(P \rightarrow Q)$
 $P \leftrightarrow Q \rightsquigarrow (P \rightarrow Q) \land (Q \rightarrow P)$

What is the prenex normal form of

$$\exists x Cube(x) \rightarrow \forall y Small(y)$$

Universal elimination

Universal statments can be instantiated to any object.

From $\forall x S(x)$, we may infer S(c).

Existential introduction

If we have established a statement for an instance, we can also establish the corresponding existential statement.

From S(c), we may infer $\exists x S(x)$.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \forall x [Cube(x) \rightarrow Large(x)] \\ \forall x [Large(x) \rightarrow LeftOf(x,b)] \\ Cube(d) \\ \hline \exists x [Large(x) \land LeftOf(x,b)] \end{array}
```

3) 3

-

From $\exists x S(x)$, we can infer things by assuming S(c) in a subproof, if c is a new name not used otherwise.

Example: Scotland Yard searched a serial killer. The did not know who he was, but for their reasoning, they called him *"Jack the ripper"*.

This would have been an unfair procedure if there had been a real person named Jack the ripper.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \forall x [\mathsf{Cube}(x) \rightarrow \mathsf{Large}(x)] \\ \forall x [\mathsf{Large}(x) \rightarrow \mathsf{LeftOf}(x,b)] \\ \exists x \mathsf{Cube}(x) \\ \hline \exists x [\mathsf{Large}(x) \land \mathsf{LeftOf}(x,b)] \end{array}
```

글▶ 글

If we introduce a new name c that is not used elsewhere, and can prove S(c), then we can also infer $\forall x S(x)$. Example:

Theorem Every positive even number is the sum of two odd numbers.

Proof Let n > 0 be even, i.e. n = 2m with m > 0. If m is odd, then m + m = n does the job. If m is even, consider (m-1) + (m+1) = n.

$$\exists y[Girl(y) \land \forall x(Boy(x) \rightarrow Likes(x, y))] \\ \forall x[Boy(x) \rightarrow \exists y(Girl(y) \land Likes(x, y))]$$

$$\exists y[Girl(y) \land \forall x(Boy(x) \rightarrow \exists y(Girl(y) \land Likes(x, y))]$$

A (counter)example



- strongly typed; types are declated using the *sort* keyword sort Blocks
- predicates have to be declared with their types preds Cube, Dodec, Tet : Blocks
- propositional variables = nullary predicates preds A,B,C : ()
- constants have to be declared with their types ops a,b,c : Blocks

```
spec Tarski1 = sort Blocks
 preds Cube, Dodec, Tet, Small, Medium, Large : Blocks
 ops a,b,c : Blocks
  . not a=b . not a=c . not b=c
  . Small(a) => Cube(a) %(small_cube_a)%
  . Small(a) <=> Small(b) %(small_a_b)%
  . Small(b) \/ Medium(b) %(small_medium_b)%
  . Medium(b) => Medium(c) %(medium_b_c)%
  . Medium(c) => Tet(c) %(medium_tet_c)%
  . not Tet(c)
                          %(not_tet_c)%
  . Cube(a)
                          %(cube_a)% %implied
  . Cube(b)
                          %(cube_b)% %implied
```

Universal Elimination (\forall Elim) $\forall x S(x)$ \vdots B S(c)

-

Existential Introduction (∃ Intro) S(c) : ▷ ∃x S(x)

```
 \begin{array}{l} \forall x [Cube(x) \rightarrow Large(x)] \\ \forall x [Large(x) \rightarrow LeftOf(x,b)] \\ Cube(d) \\ \hline \exists x [Large(x) \land LeftOf(x,b)] \end{array}
```

Existential Elimination $(\exists Elim)$:



Where c does not occur outside the subproof where it is introduced.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \forall x [Cube(x) \rightarrow Large(x)] \\ \forall x [Large(x) \rightarrow LeftOf(x,b)] \\ \exists x \ Cube(x) \\ \hline \exists x [Large(x) \land LeftOf(x,b)] \end{array}
```

General Conditional Proof (\forall Intro):



Where c does not occur outside the subproof where it is introduced.

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall x [\mathsf{Cube}(\mathsf{x}) \rightarrow \mathsf{Large}(\mathsf{x})] \\ \forall x [\mathsf{Large}(\mathsf{x}) \rightarrow \mathsf{LeftOf}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{b})] \\ \forall x [\mathsf{Cube}(\mathsf{x}) \rightarrow \mathsf{LeftOf}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{b}) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Universal Introduction (\forall Intro):



Where c does not occur outside the subproof where it is introduced.

$$_\exists xCube(x) \rightarrow \forall ySmall(y) \ \forall x \forall y(Cube(x) \rightarrow Small(y))$$

$$\exists y[Girl(y) \land \forall x(Boy(x) \rightarrow Likes(x, y))] \\ \forall x[Boy(x) \rightarrow \exists y(Girl(y) \land Likes(x, y))]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \neg \forall x P(x) \\ \exists x \neg P(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

(is not valid in intuitionistic logic, only in classical logic)

$\exists z \exists x [ManOf(x,z) \land \forall y (ManOf(y,z) \rightarrow (Shave(x,y) \leftrightarrow \neg Shave(y,y)))]$