

Korrekte Software: Grundlagen und Methoden  
Vorlesung 15 vom 30.06.16: Separation Logic  
Slides courtesy of Rajeev Goré, ANU, Australia

Serge Autexier, Christoph Lüth

Universität Bremen

Sommersemester 2016



# Axiom Schemas

$$p_1 * p_2 \Leftrightarrow p_2 * p_1$$

$$(p_1 * p_2) * p_3 \Leftrightarrow p_1 * (p_2 * p_3)$$

$$p * \mathbf{emp} \Leftrightarrow p$$

$$(p_1 \vee p_2) * q \Leftrightarrow (p_1 * q) \vee p_2 * q$$

$$(p_1 \wedge p_2) * q \Leftrightarrow (p_1 * q) \wedge p_2 * q$$

$$(\exists x.p) * q \Leftrightarrow \exists x.(p * q)$$

when  $x$  not free in  $q$

$$(\forall x.p) * q \Leftrightarrow \forall x.(p * q)$$

when  $x$  not free in  $q$

## Unsound axiom schemas

$$p \Rightarrow p * p$$

(Contraction)

$$p * p \Rightarrow p$$

(Weakening)

## More valid axiom schemas

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_1 \wedge p_2 \Rightarrow p_1 * p_2 & \text{when } p_1 \text{ or } p_2 \text{ pure} \\ p_1 * p_2 \Rightarrow p_1 \wedge p_2 & \text{when } p_1 \text{ and } p_2 \text{ pure} \\ (p \wedge q) * r \Rightarrow p \wedge (q * r) & \text{when } p \text{ pure} \end{array}$$

### Pure Expressions

An expression  $e$  is **pure**, if it does neither contain  $\mapsto$ ,  $\rightsquigarrow$  nor **emp**.

## Showing $x = y$

$$(6) \{x = x_1 \wedge x \mapsto v * y = y_1 \wedge y \mapsto v\} x := [x]; y = y \\ \{x = v \wedge x_1 \mapsto v * y = v \wedge y_1 \mapsto v\}$$

$$x = v \wedge x_1 \mapsto v * y = v \wedge y_1 \mapsto v$$

$$\Rightarrow x = v * x_1 \mapsto v * y = v \wedge y_1 \mapsto v$$

$x = v$  pure

$$\Rightarrow x = v * x_1 \mapsto v * y = v * y_1 \mapsto v$$

$y = v$  pure

$$\Rightarrow (x = v * y = v) * x_1 \mapsto v * y_1 \mapsto v$$

$$\Rightarrow (x = v \wedge y = v) * x_1 \mapsto v * y_1 \mapsto v \quad x = v \text{ and } y = v \text{ pure}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = y * x_1 \mapsto v * y_1 \mapsto v$$

# Mutation

$$\{e \mapsto -\}[e] := e' \{e \mapsto e'\}$$

**Example**  $[x] := \text{cpns}(3, 4)$

<i>St</i>	<i>Hp</i>	$x := \text{cpns}(3, 4)$	<i>St</i>	<i>Hp</i>	
$x = 20$	20	21	$x = 20$	20	21
*1	2		3	4	

**Axiom Instance**

$$\{x \mapsto 20, 21\}[x] := \text{cons}(3, 4)\{x \mapsto 3, 4\}$$

# Mutation (backwards)

$$\{e \mapsto - * (e \mapsto e' -* p)\}[e] := e' \{p\}$$

**Example**  $[x] := \text{cpns}(3, 4)$

$St$	$Hp$	$x := \text{cpns}(3, 4)$	$St$	$Hp$
$x = 20$	$20 \quad 21$		$x = 20$	$20 \quad 21$
$*1$	$2$		$3$	$4$

**Axiom Instance**

$$\{x \mapsto 20, 21 * (x \mapsto 3, 4 -* x \mapsto 3 \wedge x + 1 \mapsto 4)\}[x] := \text{cons}(3, 4)\{x \mapsto 3 \wedge x$$

# Summary

- ▶ Separation logic is the method to really handle point structures
- ▶ Can also handle function and procedure calls.
- ▶ Needs to be adapted for C