

From syllogism to common sense . . .

Exercise Sheet 3: Propositional Logic

To be discussed on 1 December 2011

1. Assume that \perp, \top are defined by $\perp = (p \wedge \neg p)$ and $\top = \neg \perp$. Show that $w\top = 1$ and $w\perp = 0$ for all valuations w .
2. Show the following equivalences via truth tables.
 - a) the de Morgan rules $\neg(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \vee \neg\beta)$ and $\neg(\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \wedge \neg\beta)$
 - b) the rule that allows arbitrary order of premises in a chain of two implications:

$$\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma \equiv \alpha \wedge \beta \rightarrow \gamma \equiv \beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$$

- c) the formulas from the “strange natural language example”:

$$(S \rightarrow H) \wedge (P \rightarrow H) \equiv (S \vee P) \rightarrow H$$

3. Prove that the signature $\{\uparrow\}$ is functionally complete.
4. Prove the replacement theorem.
5. (*) *Only if you enjoy proving theorems.*

Prove the unique formula reconstruction property. Proceed in two steps, the first of which is almost immediate.

- a) *Verify that a compound formula φ is either of the form $\varphi = \neg\alpha$ or $\varphi = (\alpha \wedge \beta)$ or $\varphi = (\alpha \vee \beta)$ for suitable formulas α, β .*
- b) *Prove the following proposition. A proper initial segment of a formula is never a formula. Equivalently: if $\alpha\xi = \beta\eta$ for formulas α, β and arbitrary strings ξ, η , then $\alpha = \beta$.*

With the help of this proposition, prove the claim of uniqueness in the theorem.