

On Coalgebras which are Algebras

Hans-E. Porst and Christian Dzierzon

Dedicated to Horst Herrlich on the occasion of his retirement

Abstract

The category $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$ of coalgebras with respect to a (bounded) signature Σ is known to be locally finitely presentable (see [1]). We strengthen this result by showing that $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$ even is a presheaf category. Moreover, we give a presentation of this category as the category of all algebras of some (many-sorted) signature (without any equations).

Σ -coalgebras, i.e., coalgebras with respect to a polynomial endofunctor H_Σ on \mathbf{Set} , $H_\Sigma(X) = \coprod_{n < \lambda} \Sigma_n \times X^n$, with $\Sigma = (\Sigma_n)_{n < \lambda}$, a family of sets, are known to be intimately related to tree structures. On the one hand the set T_Σ of all Σ -labelled trees (see 1 below) is the underlying set of a terminal object in $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$, the category of Σ -coalgebras; on the other hand, each Σ -labelled tree t is a Σ -coalgebra \mathbb{A}_t in its own right (see Definition 3 below). The structural importance of the family of tree coalgebras \mathbb{A}_t , $t \in T_\Sigma$, already emerged in [1] where this family was shown to be a strong generator of finitely presentables in $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$.

We are going to show in this note that this family even is an absolute generator, i.e., that the hom-functors determined by its members even preserve all colimits, which then leads to a representation of $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$ as a presheaf-category (see also [5], where completely different methods have been used to establish such a presentation). The particular structure of the full subcategory spanned by the tree coalgebras then even allows for a simple explicit description of this presheaf category as a category of unary algebras without equations.

We start by briefly recalling some basic concepts.

1 A Σ -labelled tree is a partial function

$$t: \omega^* \rightarrow \Sigma$$

whose domain of definition, $\mathbf{Def}t$, has the following two properties:

- (i) $\mathbf{Def}t$ contains the empty word ϵ and is prefix-closed, i.e., if $uv \in \mathbf{Def}t$ then $u \in \mathbf{Def}t$

and

(ii) if $i_1 \dots i_k \in \mathbf{Deft}$ and $t(i_1 \dots i_k)$ has arity n , then for all $j < \omega$ we have

$$i_1 \dots i_k j \in \mathbf{Deft} \text{ iff } j < n.$$

Let t be a Σ -labelled tree and $w \in \mathbf{Deft}$. By $t(w-)$ we denote “the subtree of t with root w ”, i.e., the tree with

$$v \in \mathbf{Deft}(w-) \Leftrightarrow wv \in \mathbf{Deft}$$

and then

$$t(w-)(v) = t(wv).$$

If $w = i \in \lambda \cap \mathbf{Deft}$, $t(i-)$ is a “maximal subtree” of t .

The set T_Σ of all Σ -labelled tree becomes a Σ -coalgebra \mathbb{T}_Σ by means of the action α_Σ defined by

$$\alpha_\Sigma(t) = ((t(1-), t(2-), \dots, t(n-)), t(\epsilon))$$

where $t(\epsilon) \in \Sigma_n$; i.e., the action essentially assigns to a tree its family of maximal subtrees.

2 Given a Σ -coalgebra $\mathbb{C} = (C, \alpha_\mathbb{C})$ one can define, for all $c \in C$, trees $t_c \in T_\Sigma$ and elements $c_w \in C$ ($w \in \mathbf{Deft}_c$) inductively as follows

- $c_\epsilon := c$ and $t_c(\epsilon) = \sigma \Leftrightarrow \alpha_\mathbb{C}(c) = ((c_i)_{i < n}, \sigma)$
- if $v \in \mathbf{Deft}_c$ and $\alpha_\mathbb{C}(c_v) = ((c_{vi})_{i < m}, \tau)$ then $vi \in \mathbf{Deft}_c$ for all $i < m$ and $t_c(v) = \tau = (t_{c_v}(\epsilon))$.

The tree t_c defined above is called *the tree generated by c* . The resulting map $c \mapsto t_c$ then is a homomorphism $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_\Sigma$ and, in fact, the only one (see [3]). Thus \mathbb{T}_Σ is terminal in $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$.

3 Given $t \in T_\Sigma$ the *tree coalgebra* \mathbb{A}_t is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_t &= (\mathbf{Deft}, \alpha_t) \text{ with} \\ \alpha_t(w) &= ((w1, \dots, wn), \sigma) \text{ with } \sigma = t(w) \in \Sigma_n. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from [1] that the collection of tree coalgebras \mathbb{A}_t , $t \in T_\Sigma$, is multifree on one generator; more explicitly:

For any coalgebra $\mathbb{C} = (C, \alpha_\mathbb{C})$ and any $c \in C$ there exists a homomorphism

$$h: \mathbb{A}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

with $h(\epsilon) = c$, moreover here t and h are uniquely determined (t is the image of c under the unique homomorphism $(C, \alpha_\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow (T_\Sigma, \alpha_\Sigma)$, i.e., $t = t_c$).

Denote, for a coalgebra $\mathbb{C} = (C, \alpha_c)$, the map sending $c \in C$ to $h: A_t \rightarrow C$ with $h(\epsilon) = c$, by $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then a straightforward calculation shows (with U the underlying functor of $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$):

Corollary *The family of maps $\varphi_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a natural isomorphism*

$$\varphi: U \simeq \prod_{t \in T_{\Sigma}} \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, -)$$

From this one concludes, since U is faithful and conservative:

4 Proposition *The family $(\mathbb{A}_t)_{t \in T_{\Sigma}}$ is strongly generating, i.e., the family of hom-functors*

$$\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, -), \quad t \in T_{\Sigma}$$

is jointly faithful and jointly reflects isomorphism.

5 Proposition $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, -)$ preserves colimits, for each $t \in T_{\Sigma}$ ¹.

Proof: Since the functor $U: \mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is known to preserve colimits, the Corollary shows that, for each colimit cocone $(\lambda_i: \mathbb{D}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{D})_{i \in I}$ in $\mathbf{Coalg}\Sigma$, the canonical map $\text{colim}_I \prod_t \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{D}_i) \xrightarrow{\lambda} \prod_t \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{D})$ is bijective. If now

$$\prod_t \text{colim}_I \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{D}_i) \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{colim}_I \prod_t \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{D}_i)$$

denotes the canonical bijection resulting from commutation of colimits, it will be enough to prove that (with the canonical maps λ^t).

$$\lambda \circ \psi = \prod_t (\lambda^t: \text{colim} \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{D}_i) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{D}))$$

This can be read off the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \prod_t \text{colim} H_t \mathbb{D}_i & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{colim} \prod_t H_t \mathbb{D}_i & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \prod_t H_t \mathbb{D} \\
 \uparrow \varphi_t & & \uparrow \nu_i & \nearrow \prod H_t \lambda_i & \uparrow \iota_t \\
 & \# & \prod_t H_t \mathbb{D}_i & & \\
 & & \uparrow \kappa_t & & \\
 & & H_t \mathbb{D}_i & & \\
 \mu_i \swarrow & & & \searrow H_t \lambda_i & \\
 \text{colim} H_t \mathbb{D}_i & \xrightarrow{\lambda^t} & & & H_t \mathbb{D}
 \end{array}$$

¹This is a special instance of the following more general result: Let, for some functor $U: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and some \mathbf{B} -object B , the family of \mathbf{A} -objects $(A_i)_i$ be multifree on B w.r.t. U ; if U preserves colimits and B is an absolute generator, then so is the family $(A_i)_i$. (Use the equivalence $\prod_I \text{hom}(A_i, -) \simeq \text{hom}(B, -) \circ U$.)

where the maps indexed by t are coproduct injection, and the maps indexed by i form the respective colimit cones (H_t is short for $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t -)$). \square

6 Proposition $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, -)$ preserves kernel pairs, for each $t \in T_\Sigma$. The family $(\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, -))_{t \in T_\Sigma}$ collectively reflects kernel pairs.

Proof: Preservation is clear. Let now $p, q: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a pair of homomorphisms such that, for each $t \in T_\Sigma$,

$$\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, p), \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, q): \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{C})$$

is a kernel pair of some map $f_t: \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X_t$. This pair is then also the kernel pair of its coequalizer which is, by Proposition 5, the map $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, c)$ where $c: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a coequalizer of (p, q) . If $p', q': \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is c 's kernel pair in $\text{Coalg}\Sigma$, there exists a unique $h: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ with $p'h = p$ and $q'h = q$. For each $t \in T_\Sigma$ $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, h): \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{L})$ is a bijection (as the canonical map between two kernel pairs of $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, c)$). Thus h is an isomorphism in $\text{Coalg}\Sigma$ by Proposition 4 and (p, q) is a kernel pair. \square

7 Proposition $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, -)$ preserves regular epimorphisms for each $t \in T_\Sigma$. The family $(\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, -))_{t \in T_\Sigma}$ collectively reflects regular epimorphisms.

Proof: Preservation follows from Proposition 5. Let now $q: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ be a homomorphism such that, for each $t \in T_\Sigma$, $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, q)$ is surjective. Let $r, s: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ be q 's kernel pair in $\text{Coalg}\Sigma$ and $p: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ its coequalizer. Then $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, q)$ is a coequalizer of $(\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, r), \text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, s))$ as is $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, p)$. Thus the canonical morphism $h: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism since, for each $t \in T_\Sigma$, $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, h)$ is bijective. \square

Corollary In $\text{Coalg}\Sigma$, every epimorphism is regular.

Proof: If e is an epimorphism, so is $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, e)$ (since $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, e)$ preserves colimits) for each $t \in T_\Sigma$. Hence $\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, e)$ is a regular epimorphism for each $t \in T_\Sigma$, and so is e by 7. \square

Corollary \mathbb{A}_t is (regularly) projective, for each $t \in T_\Sigma$.

Using Propositions 4 to 7 we now conclude by Bunge's characterization of presheaf categories (see [2, 4])

8 Theorem Let \mathbf{A} be the full subcategory of $\text{Coalg}\Sigma$ spanned by all tree coalgebras. Then

$$\text{Coalg}\Sigma \simeq \text{Set}^{\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}}}.$$

9 The description of $\text{Coalg}\Sigma$ as a many-sorted variety of unary algebras as presented in the theorem above, can be simplified by means of the following result.

Proposition *Every homomorphism $f: \mathbb{A}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t$ has a unique decomposition into embeddings of maximal subtrees.*

Proof: Observe first that, given a tree t and some $w \in \text{Def}t$, the map $v \mapsto wv$ is a homomorphism

$$t_w: \mathbb{A}_{t(w-)} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t$$

t_w obviously sends the root of $t(w-)$ to w . Given any homomorphism $f: \mathbb{A}_s \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_t$, put $w = f(\epsilon)$. Since the family $(\mathbb{A}_t)_t$ is multifree on one generator we conclude $s = t(w-)$ and $f = t_w$. Thus, the only homomorphisms between tree coalgebras are embeddings of subtrees.

If $w \in \text{Def}t$ is decomposed as $w = uv$ the embedding t_w decomposes as

$$\mathbb{A}_{t(w-)} \xrightarrow{t(u-)_v} \mathbb{A}_{t(u-)} \xrightarrow{t_u} \mathbb{A}_t.$$

Thus, if $w = i_1 \dots i_k$ with $i_j \in \lambda$, we obtain a decomposition of t_w as

$$A_{t(i_1 \dots i_k-)} \xrightarrow{f_k} A_{t(i_1 \dots i_{k-1}-)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_{t(i_1 i_2-)} \xrightarrow{f_2} A_{t(i_1-)} \xrightarrow{f_1} A_t$$

with $f_e = t(i_1 \dots i_{e-1}-)_{i_e}$.

This is a decomposition into embeddings of maximal subtrees; it is unique since the decomposition of words into letters is unique. \square

We denote by Ω_Σ the following many-sorted signature of unary algebras:

- Sorts are all $t \in T_\Sigma$;
- Operational symbols are $\bar{t}_i: t \rightarrow t(i-)$ for all embeddings of maximal subtrees t_i .

Then, clearly, one has

Theorem *There is an equivalence of categories $\text{Set}^{\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}}} \simeq \text{Alg}\Omega_\Sigma$.*

10 We can describe the resulting equivalence

$$\text{Coalg}\Sigma \simeq \text{Alg}\Omega_\Sigma$$

directly. First, a straightforward calculation gives the following lemma:

Lemma: *For all trees t and for all coalgebras \mathbb{C} there is a bijection*

$$\text{hom}(\mathbb{A}_t, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \{c \in C \mid t_c = t\} = !^{-1}[t]$$

Let now $\mathbb{C} = (C, \alpha_{\mathbb{C}})$ be a Σ -coalgebra. We define an Ω_{Σ} -algebra $\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}} = ((C_t)_t, (\bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{C}}))$ by

$$C_t = \{c \in C \mid t_c = t\}, \text{ and for } c \in C_t: \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{C}}(c) = c_i \iff \alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(c) = ((c_1, \dots, c_n), t(\epsilon)). \quad (2)$$

If now, $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a homomorphism, note first that $c \in C_t$ implies $f(c) \in D_t$ (clearly f maps $!^{-1}[t] \subset C$ into $!^{-1}[t] \subset D$).

In order to prove that the resulting family of maps $f_t: C_t \rightarrow D_t$ is an Ω_{Σ} -homomorphism it remains to show that, for each t_i , the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_t & \xrightarrow{f_t} & D_t \\ \bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{D}} \\ C_{t(i-)} & \xrightarrow{f_{t(i-)}} & D_{t(i-)} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f(c) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ c_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & f(c)_i \end{array}$$

Here c_i is determined by (see equation (2))

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(c) = ((c_1, \dots, c_n), t(\epsilon))$$

while $f(c)_i$ is determined by

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{D}}(f(c)) = ((f(c)_1, \dots, f(c)_n), t(\epsilon)).$$

Since f is a coalgebra homomorphism, we have

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{D}}(f(c)) = ((f(c_1), \dots, f(c_n)), t(\epsilon))$$

thus, $f(c)_i = f(c_i)$ as required. Denote the functor $\text{Coalg}\Sigma \rightarrow \text{Alg}\Omega_{\Sigma}$ just defined by Φ .

Next we construct a functor $\Psi: \text{Alg}\Omega_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \text{Coalg}\Sigma$. Given $\mathbb{X} = ((X_t), (\bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{X}}))$, let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}} = (C, \alpha_{\mathbb{X}})$ be the coalgebra with

$$C = \coprod_{t \in T_{\Sigma}} X_t \text{ and, for } x \in X_t, \quad (3)$$

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(x) = ((\bar{t}_1^{\mathbb{X}}(x), \dots, \bar{t}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(x)), t(\epsilon)) \in H_{\Sigma}C. \quad (4)$$

If $(f_t): \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ is an Ω_{Σ} -homomorphism put $f = \coprod_t f_t$. We want to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod X_t & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}} & H_{\Sigma}(\coprod X_t) \\ \coprod f_t \downarrow & & \downarrow H_{\Sigma}(\coprod f_t) \\ \coprod Y_t & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathbb{Y}}} & H_{\Sigma}(\coprod Y_t) \end{array}$$

Choose $x \in C_t$. Then $\coprod f_t(x) = f_t(x) \in Y_t$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\mathbb{Y}}(\coprod f_t(x)) &= \alpha_{\mathbb{Y}}(f_t(x)) \\ &= ((\bar{t}_1^{\mathbb{Y}}(f_t(x)), \dots, \bar{t}_n^{\mathbb{Y}}(f_t(x))), t(\epsilon))\end{aligned}$$

and, also (by equation (4)),

$$\begin{aligned}H_{\Sigma}(\coprod f_t(\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(x))) &= H_{\Sigma}(\coprod f_t((\bar{t}_1^{\mathbb{X}}(x), \dots, \bar{t}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(x)), t(\epsilon))) \\ &= ((f_{t(1-)}(\bar{t}_1^{\mathbb{X}}(x)), \dots, f_{t(n-)}(\bar{t}_n^{\mathbb{X}}(x))), t(\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(f_t)_t$ is a homomorphism, we have

$$f_{t(i-)}(\bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{X}}(x)) = \bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{Y}}(f_t(x))$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ as to be shown.

Now we will show, as expected, that $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{id}$ and $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}$. For the former take a coalgebra $\mathbb{C} = (C, \alpha_{\mathbb{C}})$ and calculate, with notations as above,

$$\Psi \circ \Phi(\mathbb{C}) = \Psi(\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}} = (\coprod C_t, \alpha_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}})$$

Note that $\coprod C_t = C$ and, for $c \in C_t$,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}}(c) = ((c_1, \dots, c_n), \sigma) &\stackrel{(4)}{\iff} c_i = \bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{C}}(c), \sigma = t(\epsilon) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\iff} \alpha_{\mathbb{C}}(c) = ((c_1, \dots, c_n), \sigma).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Psi \circ \Phi(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}}} = \mathbb{C}$. Obviously, $\Psi \circ \Phi(f) = f$ for homomorphisms f .

In order to prove $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}$, take an Ω_{Σ} -algebra $\mathbb{X} = ((X_t)_{t \in T_{\Sigma}}, (\bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{X}})_{\bar{t}_i \in \Omega_{\Sigma}})$. One gets

$$\Phi \circ \Psi(\mathbb{X}) = \Phi(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}}) = \mathbb{X}_{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}}} = ((C_t)_{t \in T_{\Sigma}}, (\bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}}})_{\bar{t}_i \in \Omega_{\Sigma}})$$

We start showing that, for each $t \in T_{\Sigma}$,

$$X_t = C_t,$$

To prove $X_t \subset C_t$ we need to show that, for each $x \in X_t$, the tree t_x generated by x in $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}}$ equals t . In fact, take $w \in \text{Def}t \cap \text{Def}t_x$; it first follows by induction that $x_w \in X_{t(w-)}$: Clearly $x_{\epsilon} = x \in X_t$. For $w = vk$ with $k < m$ and $x_v \in X_{t(v-)}$ one has, by definition of $\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}$ and t_x ,

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(x_v) = ((x_{vi})_{i < m}, \tau) = ((\overline{t(v-)}_i^{\mathbb{X}}(x_v))_{i < m}, t(v)),$$

hence

$$x_w = x_{vk} = \overline{t(v-)}_k^{\mathbb{X}}(x_v) \in X_{t(v-)(k-)} = X_{t(vk-)}.$$

For these w we obtain $t_x(w) = t(w)$ since $\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(x_w) = ((\overline{t(w-)}_i^{\mathbb{X}}(x_w))_{i < n}, t(w))$. Furthermore, both domains of definition coincide, as induction shows: Clearly $\epsilon \in \text{Def}t \cap \text{Def}t_x$, and for v with $\alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(x_v) = ((\overline{t(v-)}_i^{\mathbb{X}}(x_v))_{i < m}, t(v))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w = vk \in \text{Def}t_x &\Leftrightarrow v \in \text{Def}t_x, k < m && \text{by def. of } t_x \\ &\Leftrightarrow v \in \text{Def}t, k < m && \text{by ind. hyp.} \\ &\Leftrightarrow vk \in \text{Def}t && \text{by def. of trees.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $t = t_x$ as required. Moreover, for $c \in C_t \subset C$ there is some $s \in T_{\Sigma}$ with $c \in X_s \subset C_s$, hence $t = t_c = s$. Now $X_t = C_t$ follows.

Finally, for $c \in C_t = X_t$, one has for each \bar{t}_i

$$\bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{X}}}(c) = c_i \xLeftrightarrow{(2)} \alpha_{\mathbb{X}}(c) = ((c_1, \dots, c_n), t(\epsilon)) \xLeftrightarrow{(4)} \bar{t}_i^{\mathbb{X}}(c) = c_i.$$

Now $\Phi \circ \Psi(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{X}$. follows. Again, $\Phi \circ \Psi(f) = f$ for homomorphisms f is obvious².

Acknowledgment We are grateful to J. Adámek for fruitful discussions on the subject.

References

- [1] J. Adámek and H.-E. Porst, On Tree Coalgebras and Coalgebra Presentations, to appear in *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*
- [2] M. Bunge, Relative functor categories and categories of algebras, *J. Algebra* **11** (1969), 64–101.
- [3] Chr. Dzierzon and Chr. Schubert, Terminal coalgebras and tree-structures, *Preprint*, Univ. Bremen, 2003.
- [4] F.E.J. Linton, Applied functorial semantics II, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **80**, Springer (1969), 53–74.
- [5] Worrel, A Note on Coalgebras and Presheaves, *Electron. Notes in Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **65**, No. 1, (2002), 7 p.

Department of Mathematics, University of Bremen, 28334 Bremen
porst@math.uni-bremen.de
dzierzon@math.uni-bremen.de

²Note that we didn't prove $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{id}$ literally, since we were tacitly assuming the underlying sets of an algebra to be mutually disjoint; thus $\Phi \circ \Psi$ and id are, strictly speaking, only naturally isomorphic.